UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upub.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/316,897	05/20/1999	ANAND RAMAKRISHNA	111399.01	8450
	7590 07/29/200 CORPORATION	EXAMINER		
ONE MICROS	OFT WAY		NGUYEN, MAIKHANH	
REDMOND, WA 98052-6399			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

roks@microsoft.com ntovar@microsoft.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/316,897	RAMAKRISHNA, ANAND			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Maikhanh Nguyen	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO pendo for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	ıne 2008.				
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8,10-25,27-39 and 41-47 is/are pen	ding in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-8, 10-25, 27-39, and 41-47 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application			

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to RCE filed 06/10/2008.

Claims 1-8, 10-25, 27-39, and 41-47 are presented for examination. Claims 1, 17, and 30 are independent claims.

Request Continuation for Examination

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed 06/10/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8,10 -25, and 27- 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to independent Claims 1 and 17:

The phrase "may be" (Claim 1, line 5; and Claim 17, line 9) renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). The resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. The use of similar exemplary language "for example" or "such as" was found to be indefinite in the following cases: Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1949); Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd'. App. 1949); Ex parte Steigerwald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. APP. 1961).

Dependent claims 2-8, 10-16, 18-25, and 27-29 are rejected for fully incorporating the deficiencies of their base claims.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

a. Claims 1-7, 10-19, 21-25, 27, 29-39, and 41- 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Corporation, "Dynamic HTML: The Next Generation of User Interface Design Using HTML" in view of Massy, "Time Off for Good Behavior: DHTML Behaviors in Internet Explorer 5," April 1999, pp. 1-7.

As to claim 17:

Microsoft teaches a computer-implemented method of providing dynamic effects to an HTML document (*Dynamic HTML adds richer, more engaging user interfaces to the HTML presentation language; See page 1*), comprising the steps of:

 encapsulating code in an external component that affects a behavior of one or more elements contained in the document while being external to the document, including elements of different documents (The object

Art Unit: 2176

model provided by Dynamic HTML give Web developers the ability to dynamic update the content, style and structure of the Web-based content, while providing them with detailed control over the appearance, interactivity and multimedia elements; see Introduction to Dynamic HTML section; page 1 / Dynamic HTML extends HTML with an object model allowing scripts or programs to change styles and attributes of page elements ... to replace existing elements with new ones ... extensibility needed for creating business applications; See 1st ¶, page 2);

- inserting an element into the document (dynamic change the style and attributes of elements, as well as insert, delete or modify elements ...
 reformatting the document; see Appendix section, See page 4);
- attaching a reference in the document to associate the element with an instance of the external component, such that another instance of the element may be referenced by a different document wherein the reference associating the element with the external component is maintained in a cascading style sheet (In HTML, styles and specified as element attributes or via Cascading Style Sheets. The object model exposed by Dynamic HTML exposes every HTML element in the document, including its attributes and CSS properties; See 1st ¶, page 5); and

Art Unit: 2176

providing the document to a render, wherein the render is capable of
instantiating the external component, associating an interface of the
instance of the external component with the element, and displayed the
rendered document (Dynamic HTML ... integrated directly into browser's
page display; See 3rd ¶, page 2).

Microsoft, however, does not specifically teach "wherein code for determining a behavior of the one or more elements contained in the document is not included in the document."

Massy teaches code for determining a behavior of the one or more elements contained in the document is not included in the document (the engineer adds any dynamic functionality using script. By using CSS and DHTML behaviors, the design and engineer aspects of the document can be separated from the content, allowing the specialists in each discipline to concentrate on their particular area without worrying about conflict. DHTML behaviors use CSS to separate the script from the content and the style of a document) [See page 1 through page 7].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Massy with Microsoft because it would have brought the benefits of reuse to the world of DHTML.

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 18:

Microsoft teaches providing the external component to the renderer (See

Page 7

Introduction to Dynamic HTML section; page 1).

As to claim 19:

Microsoft teaches rendering a page image from the document (place elements

such as images ... on the page; see Positioning section; page 5, accessing the

external component (an object model can be accessed ... within a page; see

Appendix section, page 4), and modifying a representation of the element based

on the code in the external component (dynamically change the style and

attributes of elements, as well as insert, delete or modify elements and their text

even after a page has been reloaded. Dynamic HTML automatically updates the

display of the page to reflect these changes, including reformatting the document

as necessary ... to dynamically change the style and content of a page at any

time, even after it has been loaded ... to renderer a page only if sections of that

page change, including reformatting text paragraph as needed; See pp. 4 and 5).

As to claim 21:

Microsoft teaches the changing the appearance thereof (change the size, color or

other font properties of elements ... by enlarging the font and change its color

when the user move the mouse over the title; See page 5).

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 22:

Microsoft teaches the changing the location thereof (placing objects in different z-

Page 8

planes... manipulating object coordinates; See Positioning section; page 5).

As to claim 23:

Microsoft teaches rendering a page image from the document, accessing the

external component, and drawing information in the image based on the code in

the external component (a script can scan the elements of a page and, using

dynamic content, insert a table of contents...dynamic HTML includes animation

and multimedia controls that can be used to apply visual effects to elements on a

page...dynamic HTML incorporates several features to integrate data with native

HTML elements; See pages 5-6).

As to claim 24:

Microsoft teaches rendering a page image from the document is interleaved with

drawing information in the image (dynamic HTML includes animation and

multimedia controls that can be used to apply visual effects to elements on a

page...dynamic HTML incorporates several features to integrate data with native

HTML elements; See page 6).

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 25:

Microsoft teaches receiving an event indicative of user interaction with the image

Page 9

(Dynamic form ... can response to user input... when users conduct a typical

Internet search ... obtaining additional information requires clicking... the Web

page, See page 2).

As to claim 27:

Microsoft teaches the information associating the element with the external

component is maintained in a custom tag (dynamic behavior to their pages 'such

as writing custom embedded objects in Java, Visual Basic' ... objects now can be

done with scripts; See 2^{nd} - 3^{rd} ¶, page 2).

As to claim 29:

Microsoft teaches the reference associating the element with the external

component is maintained inline with the element in the document (the object

model exposed by Dynamic HTML exposes every HTML element in the

document, including its attributes and CSS properties ... dynamic read and

change the values of these attributes and CSS properties; see 1st ¶, See page 5).

As to claim 1:

The rejection of claim 17 above is incorporated herein in full. Additionally, Microsoft

teaches:

Art Unit: 2176

rendering a page image corresponding to at least part of the document, the
page image including a representation of the element (the HTML presentation
language ... providing them with detailed control over the appearance,
interactivity and multimedia elements; see Introduction to Dynamic HTML
section; See page 1); and

accessing the external component for determining a behavior of the
representation of the element rendered on the page image (dynamic
change the style and attributes of elements ... updates the display of the
page reflect these changes ... other are exposed via an object that can be
accessed ... Javascript object model ... the choice of scripting languages;
see Appendix section, See page 4).

As to claim 2:

Microsoft teaches receiving an event, and wherein accessing the external component is performed in response to the event (*Dynamic HTML changes that by making it possible to create more interactive document that responds instantly to user action; see Interactive documents section, See page 2*).

As to claims 3-5:

Refer to the discussions of claims 21-23 above, respectively, for rejections.

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 6:

Microsoft teaches the external component comprises an object, and wherein

accessing the external component includes instantiating an instance of the object

Page 11

(dynamic behavior to their pages 'such as writing custom embedded objects in

Java, Visual Basic' ... objects now can be done with scripts; See 2nd - 3rd ¶.

page 2).

As to claim 7:

Microsoft teaches receiving a new document having another element thereon,

the new document including information associating the other element with the

external component, rendering a new page image corresponding to at least part

of the document, the new page image including a representation of the other

element, and accessing the external component for determining a behavior of the

representation of the other element rendered on the page image [dynamic HTML

extends HTML...replace existing elements (or objects) with new ones... adds the

interactivity...adding dynamic behavior...adding making it compatible with current

browsers and existing HTML pages; See page 2].

As to claims 10-12:

Refer to the discussions of claims 27-29 above, respectively, for rejections.

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 13:

Microsoft teaches the document includes another element having a representation thereof rendered in the page image, the document includes other information associating the other element with the external component and further comprising, accessing the external component for determining a behavior of the representation of the other element [dynamic HTML extends HTML...change styles and attributes of page elements (or objects)...replace existing elements (or objects) with new ones... adds the interactivity...adding dynamic behavior...adding making it compatible with current browsers and existing HTML pages; See page 2].

As to claim 14:

Microsoft teaches the document includes information associating the element with a second external component, and further comprising, accessing the second external component for determining a second behavior of the representation of the element [e.g., dynamic HTML extends HTML...change styles and attributes of page elements (or objects)...replace existing elements (or objects) with new ones... adds the interactivity...adding dynamic behavior...adding making it compatible with current browsers and existing HTML pages; See page 21.

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 15:

The combination of Microsoft and teaches Massy resolving a conflict between the behavior determined by the external component and the second behavior determined by the second external component based on the order in which the behaviors were applied to the element with each subsequent behavior taking precedence over a previous behavior [See Massy; page 1 through page 7: allowing the specialists in each discipline to concentrate on their particular area without worrying about conflict. DHTML behaviors use CSS to separate the script from the content and the style of a document).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Massy with Microsoft because it would have brought the benefits of reuse to the world of DHTML.

As to claim 16:

The combination of Microsoft and teaches Massy downloading the external component [See Massy; page 6: the behavior component ... has been downloaded].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Massy with Microsoft because it would have brought the benefits of reuse to the world of DHTML.

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 30:

The rejection of claim 17 above is incorporated herein in full. Additionally, Microsoft teaches modifying the behavior of elements, including elements of different documents (HTML content can modify itself on the fly in response to user actions, dynamic altering the appearance or content of the Web page; see

More snap section; See page 3).

As to claim 31:

Refer to the discussion of claim 25 above for rejection.

As to claim 32:

Microsoft teaches the renderer displays a representation of the element and modifies a behavior of the element by accessing the external component (renderer a page only if sections of that page change, including reformatting text paragraphs as needed ... Dynamic HTML adjusts the other related items, including renumbering them where appropriate; See 2nd full ¶, page 5).

As to claims 33-35:

Refer to the discussions of claims 21-23 above, respectively, for rejections.

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 36:

Microsoft teaches the renderer calls the external component a plurality of times

to draw information on the page image, and the renderer draws information on

the page image between at least some of calls to the external component (a

script can scan the elements of a page and, using dynamic content, insert a table

of contents...dynamic HTML includes animation and multimedia controls that can

be used to apply visual effects to elements on a page...dynamic HTML

incorporates several features to integrate data with native HTML elements; See

pages 5 and 6).

As to claim 37:

Refer to the discussion of claim 6 above for rejection.

As to claim 38:

Microsoft teaches the external component comprises an object, and wherein the

rendered communicates with the object (The object model provided by Dynamic

HTML give Web developers the ability to dynamic update the content, style and

structure of the Web-based content, while providing them with detailed control

over the appearance, interactivity and multimedia elements; see Introduction to

Dynamic HTML section; See page 1).

As to claim 39:

Microsoft teaches the render receives a new document having another element thereon that references the external component (*Dynamic HTML extends HTML* with an object model allowing scripts or programs to change styles and attributes of page elements ... to replace existing elements with new ones ... extensibility needed for creating business applications; see 1st ¶, page 2).

As to claim 41:

Microsoft teaches the cascading style sheet is embedded in the document (Dynamic HTML extends ... Cascading Style Sheet; See page 2).

As to claim 42:

Microsoft teaches the cascading style sheet is linked to the document (Dynamic HTML extends ... Cascading Style Sheet; See page 2).

As to claims 43-46:

Refer to the discussions of claims 27 and 12-14 above, respectively, for rejections.

As to claim 47:

Microsoft teaches the renderer accesses the external component to control the format of data input by a user (the object model exposed by Dynamic HTML exposes every HTML element in the document ...hide an element ... text of a

Art Unit: 2176

bullet could be hidden until the user moves the mouse over the bullet; See page

5).

b. Claims 8, 20, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Microsoft in view of Massy as applied to claims 1, 17, and 30 above, and

further in view of Wang et al., "Customization of Distributed Systems Using

COM", July - Sept.1998, Vol.6, pp.8-12.

As to claim 8:

Microsoft teaches accessing the external component for determining a behavior

of the presentation of other element includes accessing another instance of the

object (See pp. 4 and 5). However, the combination of Microsoft and Massy

does not specifically teach the use of COM object.

Wang teaches the use of COM object (COM; See page 1).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to combine Wang with Microsoft as modified by Massy

because it would have provided the capability for extending the benefits of

object-oriented programming, such as encapsulation, polymorphism, and

software reuse to a dynamic and cross-processing setting.

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 20:

Wang teaches the external component is a COM object, and wherein accessing

Page 18

the external component includes call an interface of the COM object (See pp. 1-

2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to combine Wang with Microsoft as modified by Massy

because it would have provided the capability for extending the benefits of

object-oriented programming, such as encapsulation, polymorphism, and

software reuse to a dynamic and cross-processing setting.

As to claim 28:

Wang teaches the information associating the element with the external

component is maintained in a class identifier (see pp. 1-2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to combine Wang with Microsoft as modified by Massy

because it would have provided the capability for extending the benefits of

object-oriented programming, such as encapsulation, polymorphism, and

software reuse to a dynamic and cross-processing setting.

Art Unit: 2176

Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments filed 06/10/2008 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

Applicant argues in substance that Microsoft does not teach wherein code for

determining a behavior of the representation of the elements is not included in

the document [Remarks, page 11].

In response, the newly cited art (Massy) is used to teach "wherein code for

determining a behavior of the representation of the elements is not included in

the document" (see the rejection above).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record, listed on PTO 892 provided to Applicant is

considered to have relevancy to the claimed invention. Applicant should review

each identified reference carefully before responding to this office action to

properly advance the case in light of the prior art.

Art Unit: 2176

Contact information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maikhanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00am – 5:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton can be reached at (571) 272-4137.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Maikhanh Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176

> /Doug Hutton/ Doug Hutton Supervisory Primary Examiner Technology Center 2100